aSSIsT: Software Security for the IoT

Simon Duquennoy Bengt Jonsson Luca Mottola Shahid Raza Konstantinos Sagonas



Internet of Things (IoT)



Tens of billions of connected devices by 2020?



- Requirements
 - Reliability
 - Long lifetime, low cost
 - Interoperability
 - Security



Security in the IoT

- Recent attacks on IoT networks
 - Takeover of entire IoT networks [Mirai, Linux.Darlloz]
 - Unauthorized control of nodes [Zigbee War-flying]
- Infections spread rapidly and enable coordinated attacks
- Security must be prime concern
- Security involves many aspects



Focus of aSSIsT

- Communication
- Physical Access
- System management



aSSIsT: Secure Software for IoT

Project duration: 2018-2023,https://assist-project.github.ioFunding: Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF)

Challenge

Develop techniques for

making IoT software resilient against security attacks

Participating Groups

IT department, Uppsala University

- Verification group [Bengt Jonsson, Parosh Abdulla, Mohammed Faouzi Atig]
- Programming language technology group [Kostis Sagonas, Tobias Wrigstad] RISE SICS, Kista
- Networked embedded systems groups [Simon Duquennoy, Luca Mottola, Thiemo Voigt]
- Security lab [Shahid Raza, Ludwig Seitz]

Reference group of SMEs with IoT software development





The IoT OS Landscape





arm MBED

Contiki NG





Introducing Contiki-NG

- An Open-source OS for the IoT
- Several Swedish companies build products on top
- Focus on standard-based, interoperable systems
 - 6LoWPAN, 6TiSCH, RPL, CoAP, DTLS, LWM2M etc.
- Focus on **dependable** communication
 - Security: link-layer (IEEE 802.15.4) and application-layer (DTLS)
 - Reliability: brand-new RPL. 99.999% in RPL/TSCH mesh!
- Focus on modern IoT platforms
 - 16 and 32 bits, with low-power radio capabilities
 - Homogenized interfaces for H/W features





Contiki NG

IoT Hardware

Volatile

Memory

Radio

Picture credit: Luca Mottola

Actuators

Sensors

CPU

- ROM for program
- RAM for variables
- Flash for long-term storage •
- Ports for I/O
- Radio
 - Low-power, low datarate •
 - IEEE 802.15.4, Bluetooth Low Energy, LoRa, etc.
- Power source
 - Battery, or capacitor and harvester
- Sensors / Actuators
 - Application-specific





Program

Memory

CPU

Power Source

Flash

Memory

Ports





Challenges for IoT Software Security

Large attack surface

- Internet
- Wireless
- Physical tampering

Resource-constrained

- Lack much useful support
 - Memory protection and isolation (MCU)
 - Intruders get access to entire system
 - Intrusion detection and mitigation
 - Component replacement
- Highly optimized programming style
 - untyped pointers, limited defensive programming, ...

Platform-specific constructs

• For accessing peripherals



aSSIsT: Secure Software for IoT

Goals: Develop techniques and tools for

- 1. Detecting software vulnerabilities
 - Software analysis, fuzzing
- 2. Testing and verification of (security) protocol implementations
 - Conformance testing, security testing
- **3.** Run-time protection mechanisms
 - Memory protection, intrusion monitoring

Demonstrators:

- Contiki OS
- IoT protocols, including:
 - DTLS (Datagram TLS)
 - TSCH (Time-Slotted Channel Hopping) MAC-layer protocol for IoT



Software Analysis

Dynamic techniques

- Testing, symbolic execution
- Exercise paths in the code

Static techniques

- Static analysis, formal verification
- Analyze code for (possible) errors

- + Easy to set up
- + Scales well
- + No (few) false positives
- Do not provide guarantees

- Can be difficult to set up
- Tradeoff scalability/false negatives
- + Do provide guarantees



Software Analysis

Dynamic techniques

- Testing, symbolic execution
- Exercise paths in the code

- + Easy to set up
- + Scale well
- + No (few) false positives
- Do not provide guarantees

HowTo:

1. Instrumentation:

- Convert properties to be checked into assertions
- 2. Exploration
 - Exercise as many program paths as possible to search for crash/violation



Random Testing



Random inputs



Crashes Assertion failures

- + easy to set up
- + large number of tests per second
- hard to achieve reasonable coverage



Blackbox Fuzzing



Tools include Radamsa

- + easy to set up
- + large number of tests per second
- hard to achieve reasonable coverage



Whitebox Fuzzing aka. symbolic/concolic execution



Tools include Klee, CREST

- + Can search for new paths efficiently
- + Can find deep bugs / achieve high coverage
- Non-trival to set up
- High overhead per test case
- Some path conditions difficult to analyze



Greybox Fuzzing



Tools include AFL, libFuzzer

- + easy to set up
- + (rather) large numbers of tests per second
- + Can efficiently search for new paths
- Hard to find "magic numbers"



Challenges for aSSIsT

Goal: Powerful fuzzing techniques for IoT Software

- Device platform characteristics
 - Device-specific ways to access peripherals
 - Proper usage of driver APIs
- Handling interrupts and threading
 - Provoking arbitrary interaction patterns
 - Optimizations to combat state-space explosion
 - Leveraging our previous work for multithreaded software

e.g., using Nidhugg [Kokologiannakis Sagonas, SPIN 17]

- Approaching complete coverage (as, e.g., [Christakis Godefroid VMCAI 15])
 - Modularization into modest-size components
 - Specification of component interfaces



Contiki-NG Github Issues

Vulnerability reports (includes CVEs)

① 2 Open ✓ 7 Closed	Author 🗸	Labels 🔻	Projects 🕶	Milestones 🕶	Assignee 🔻	Sort 🕶
③ Stack based buffer overflow while parsing JSON file #601 opened on Jul 11 by cve-reporting	bug bug/vuln	erability				
(1) Stack based buffer overflow while parsing MQTT m variable length header) bug bug/vulnerability #600 opened on Jul 11 by cve-reporting	essages (pars	ing PUBLIS	H message v	vith		
Stack based buffer overflow while parsing AQL (sto #599 by cve-reporting was closed on Aug 28	rage of relati	ons) <mark>bug</mark> b	ug/vulnerability			
Global buffer overflow while parsing AQL (lvm_shif #598 by cve-reporting was closed on Aug 28	t_for_operato	or) <mark>bug</mark> bug/	vulnerability		R.	
(i) Global buffer overflow while parsing AQL (lvm_reginterate_intersection, create_union) bug bug/vulnerabilit #597 by cve-reporting was closed on Aug 28	ster_variable	, lvm_set_va	riable_value,			
 Global buffer overflow while parsing AQL (lvm_set_ bug/vulnerability #596 by cve-reporting was closed on Aug 28 	op, lvm_set_ı	elation, lvm	n_set_operan	d) ^{bug}		
Stack based buffer overflow while parsing AQL (par #595 by cve-reporting was closed on Aug 28	rsing next str	ing) <mark>bug</mark> bu	g/vulnerability		()	
Stack based buffer overflow while parsing AQL (par #594 by cve-reporting was closed on Aug 28	sing next tol	ken) <mark>bug</mark> bu	g/vulnerability		R.	
(Fotential) Security Vulnerabilities within Erbium #425 by bsmelo was closed on May 4	ug bug/vulnerat	bility				Ç . 7





aSSIsT: Communication Security



Security in low-power IPv6



- Implementation correctness important
 - Conformance to protocol standard
 - Absence of vulnerabilities
- Weaknesses in TLS implementations discovered in recent years, e.g.,
 - Cryptographic function implementations exposing side channels
 - E.g., Bleichenbacher attack, ..
 - Unexpected input messages may bypass authentication



Model Learning



- Learning finite state machines from adaptive test suites
- Starting from only the interface signature, generates
 - Model (finite automaton or Mealy machine), and
 - Conformance test suite
- Techniques well understood for the finite-state case



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET



Applying Model Learning to TLS





Model Learning: Scenario





Model Learning: Scenario





aSSIsT: Plans for Testing DTLS



Build test harness for DTLS,

- Start from *TLS attacker*, powerful test harness for TLS
 - Developed at Univ. Bochum [Somorovsky, CCS16]
 - Supports many known cryptographic attacks
- Generate state machine model of DTLS implementation
- Use model:
 - Model checking conformance to standard
 - Checking vulnerability to cryptographic attacks
 - As seed for fuzzing





Hardware-based Isolation

By default, Cortex M0 does not support memory protection/isolation ARM TrustZone: Hardware-protected area, unaccessible from outside

- Provides memory isolation
- Storage for encryption keys
- ..

Challenges

- Best use for Contiki-NG
- Secure communication between trusted and un-trusted zones
- Safe storing of persistent data between transient executions





Enabling (Remote) Updates

SUIT (Software Updates for IoT)

- New protocol for software updates for IoT
- PKI-based

Challenges:

- Implement and evaluate SUIT protocol-set for Contiki
- Formal verification of PKI for IoT (possibly using Tamarin)

Over-The-Air programming (OTA) involving

- Secure bootloader
- Image dissemination (e.g. LWM2M)
- Image verification (signature check)
- Image swapping w/ scratch area



Summary

- Security is a crucial concern for IoT software
- IoT platforms pose new challenges for software security
 - Attack surface, resource constraints, device characteristics
- aSSIsT goal is to develop techniques for
 - 1. Software analysis for vulnerabilities
 - 2. V&V for (security) protocol implementations
 - 3. Platform run-time protection mechanisms
- For use by developers of software for IoT
- For hardening existing IoT platforms
 - Contiki-based, ...
 - Low power wireless protocols

